

EDUC4161 Developments in Language Teaching Methodology

View Online



1.

Kumaravadivelu, B.: Beyond Methods: Macrostrategies for Language Teaching : Macro-strategies for Language Teaching. Yale University Press (2003).

2.

Pica, T.: Tradition and transition in English language teaching methodology. System. 28, 1–18 (2000). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0346-251X\(99\)00057-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0346-251X(99)00057-3).

3.

Richards, J.C., Rodgers, T.S.: Approaches and methods in language teaching. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2014).

4.

Larsen-Freeman, D., Anderson, M.: Techniques and principles in language teaching. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

5.

Spiro, J., MyiLibrary: Changing methodologies in TESOL. Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh (2013).

6.

Shaw, P.A.: The Syllabus is Dead, Long Live the Syllabus: Thoughts on the State of

Language Curriculum, Content, Language, Tasks, Projects, Materials, Wikis, Blogs and the World Wide Web. *Language and Linguistics Compass*. 3, 1266–1283 (2009).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-818X.2009.00154.x>.

7.

McDevitt, B.: Negotiating the syllabus: a win-win situation? *ELT Journal*. 58, 3–9 (2004).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/58.1.3>.

8.

Richards, J.C.: Curriculum Approaches in Language Teaching: Forward, Central, and Backward Design. *RELC Journal*. 44, 5–33 (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0033688212473293>.

9.

Savignon, S.J.: Beyond communicative language teaching: What's ahead? *Journal of Pragmatics*. 39, 207–220 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2006.09.004>.

10.

Feryok, A.: An Armenian English language teacher's practical theory of communicative language teaching. *System*. 36, 227–240 (2008).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2007.09.004>.

11.

Woods, D., Çakır, H.: Two dimensions of teacher knowledge: The case of communicative language teaching. *System*. 39, 381–390 (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2011.07.010>.

12.

Mikulec, E., Miller, P.C.: Using Project-Based Instruction to Meet Foreign Language Standards. *The Clearing House: A Journal of Educational Strategies, Issues and Ideas*. 84, 81–86 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00098655.2010.516779>.

13.

Ellis, R.: Task-based language teaching: sorting out the misunderstandings. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics*. 19, 221–246 (2009).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1473-4192.2009.00231.x>.

14.

Batstone, R.: Language form, task-based language teaching, and the classroom context. *ELT Journal*. 66, 459–467 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccs058>.

15.

Robinson, P.: Task-Based Language Learning: A Review of Issues. *Language Learning*. 61, 1–36 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9922.2011.00641.x>.

16.

Choo, J.P.L, Too, W.K.: Teachers' Perceptions in Using Task-Based Instruction for the Teaching of Grammar. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Research in Education (JIRE)*. 2, (2012).

17.

Kumaravadivelu, B.: TESOL Methods: Changing Tracks, Challenging Trends. *TESOL Quarterly*. 40, (2006). <https://doi.org/10.2307/40264511>.

18.

Bell, D.M.: Do teachers think that methods are dead? *ELT Journal*. 61, 135–143 (2007).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccm006>.

19.

Baecher, L., Farnsworth, T., Ediger, A.: The challenges of planning language objectives in content-based ESL instruction. *Language Teaching Research*. 18, 118–136 (2014).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1362168813505381>.

20.

Cenoz, J., Genesee, F., Gorter, D.: Critical Analysis of CLIL: Taking Stock and Looking Forward. *Applied Linguistics*. 35, 243–262 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amt011>.

21.

Echevarria, J., Richards-Tutor, C., Canges, R., Francis, D.: Using the SIOP Model to Promote the Acquisition of Language and Science Concepts with English Learners. *Bilingual Research Journal*. 34, 334–351 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1080/15235882.2011.623600>.