

EDUC4161 Developments in Language Teaching Methodology

View Online



1.

Kumaravadivelu, B. *Beyond Methods: Macrostrategies for Language Teaching : Macro-strategies for Language Teaching* [Internet]. Yale University Press; 2003. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=3420077>

2.

Pica T. Tradition and transition in English language teaching methodology. *System*. 2000 Mar;28(1):1-18.

3.

Richards JC, Rodgers TS. *Approaches and methods in language teaching*. 3rd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2014.

4.

Larsen-Freeman D, Anderson M. *Techniques and principles in language teaching*. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011.

5.

Spiro J, MyiLibrary. *Changing methodologies in TESOL* [Internet]. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press; 2013. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=1404628>

6.

Shaw PA. The Syllabus is Dead, Long Live the Syllabus: Thoughts on the State of Language Curriculum, Content, Language, Tasks, Projects, Materials, Wikis, Blogs and the World Wide Web. *Language and Linguistics Compass* [Internet]. 2009 Sep;3(5):1266–1283. Available from: <https://doi-org.unm-ezproxy.idm.oclc.org/10.1111/j.1749-818X.2009.00154.x>

7.

McDevitt B. Negotiating the syllabus: a win-win situation? *ELT Journal*. 2004 Jan 1;58(1):3–9.

8.

Richards JC. Curriculum Approaches in Language Teaching: Forward, Central, and Backward Design. *RELC Journal*. 2013 Apr 1;44(1):5–33.

9.

Savignon SJ. Beyond communicative language teaching: What's ahead? *Journal of Pragmatics*. 2007 Jan;39(1):207–220.

10.

Feryok A. An Armenian English language teacher's practical theory of communicative language teaching. *System*. 2008 Jun;36(2):227–240.

11.

Woods D, Çakır H. Two dimensions of teacher knowledge: The case of communicative language teaching. *System*. 2011 Sep;39(3):381–390.

12.

Mikulec E, Miller PC. Using Project-Based Instruction to Meet Foreign Language Standards. *The Clearing House: A Journal of Educational Strategies, Issues and Ideas* [Internet]. 2011 Mar 17;84(3):81–86. Available from: <https://doi-org.unm-ezproxy.idm.oclc.org/10.1080/00098655.2010.516779>

13.

Ellis R. Task-based language teaching: sorting out the misunderstandings. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics*. 2009 Oct 20;19(3):221–246.

14.

Batstone R. Language form, task-based language teaching, and the classroom context. *ELT Journal*. 2012 Oct 1;66(4):459–467.

15.

Robinson P. Task-Based Language Learning: A Review of Issues. *Language Learning*. 2011 Jun;61:1–36.

16.

Choo, J.P.L, Too, W.K. Teachers' Perceptions in Using Task-Based Instruction for the Teaching of Grammar. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Research in Education (JIRE)* [Internet]. 2012;2(1). Available from: https://university2.taylors.edu.my/jire/downloads/vol2_04.pdf

17.

Kumaravadivelu B. TESOL Methods: Changing Tracks, Challenging Trends. *TESOL Quarterly*. 2006 Mar 1;40(1).

18.

Bell DM. Do teachers think that methods are dead? *ELT Journal*. 2007 Apr 1;61(2):135–143.

19.

Baecher L, Farnsworth T, Ediger A. The challenges of planning language objectives in content-based ESL instruction. *Language Teaching Research*. 2014 Jan 1;18(1):118–136.

20.

Cenoz J, Genesee F, Gorter D. Critical Analysis of CLIL: Taking Stock and Looking Forward. *Applied Linguistics*. 2014 Jul 1;35(3):243-262.

21.

Echevarria J, Richards-Tutor C, Canges R, Francis D. Using the SIOP Model to Promote the Acquisition of Language and Science Concepts with English Learners. *Bilingual Research Journal*. 2011 Oct;34(3):334-351.